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## Real-time railway timetable rescheduling

Components and actors in advanced real-time railway network management


Source: M. Joborn, J. Törnquist Krasemann, B. Thorslund, S. P. Josyula, Z. Ranjbar, T. Liden, M. Wahlborg, "Description of a decision support tool aimed at advanced Real Time Network Management and requirements for a demonstrator", 2020. (FR8Rail II Deliverable 3.2). http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2:1510579


## Some challenges

$>$ How to model the problem?
$>$ How to design and implement the algorithm?
$>$ How to analyze its applicability, strengths, and limitations?


- Rescheduling objectives


## State of the practice

> Only very few examples of computer algorithms for rescheduling

- Regional lines in Italy (2011- ongoing).
$\square$ Certain lines in Latvia (2017-ongoing).
$\square$ A system in greater Oslo area (not in operation yet).
> In Sweden, disturbances are handled...



## Research need: Evaluating algorithms

## A wide range of solution approaches:

- Local rules and conflict resolution principles (e.g., FCFS)
> Various mathematical formulations solved with exact methods (e.g., using commercial solvers)
> Problem decomposition techniques:
- Decomposition in time, e.g., a rolling-time horizon
- Decomposition in space, e.g., making the decisions at different levels and solving iteratively.
$>$ Algorithmic approaches, including a combination of above.
All approaches have their own strengths and limitations!


## Research need: To identify objectives and KPls



## Results and conclusions from a Swedish case study



- The railway stretch between Karlskrona-Malmö, via Kristianstad and Hässleholm
- 90 sections, 42 stations
- Mixed traffic: Regional passenger trains, freight trains, long-distance passenger trains


## Blekinge Kustbana



Source: Page 10 of the document Blekinge kustbana, fördjupad utredning för etapp 2 https://www.trafikverket.se/contentassets/a0 a574ba8c6743bd87cd23febdd07a98/fordjupa d utredning trafikverket bkbe2 signerad.pdf

## Train timetable



Timetable from Karlskrona to Kristianstad (4:00 PM to 9:00 PM)
$>$ A calibrated version of the train timetable from October 2016 for a weekday.

## Train timetable (contd.)



Timetable from Hässleholm to Malmö (4:00 PM to 9:00 PM)
$>$ Currently operational timetable contains more traffic nowadays

## An example rescheduling scenario

| Trains | Disturbance location | Wall-clock time, Disturbed train | Initial delay | Potential <br> conflicts | Extended <br> runtime |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 107 | Hässleholm:Mellby | $5: 40$ PM, Westbound freight train | $50 \%$ increase in <br> its runtime | 23 | 37 min |


| Solution | Total delay of trains <br> at final stations |
| :--- | :--- |
| Rescheduling solution 1 | 55 min |
| Rescheduling solution 2 | 48 min |


Q) How can the numerical and visual analysis of the solutions be beneficial?

| Solution | Total delay of trains <br> at final stations | Trains with <br> secondary delay | Platform track <br> reassignments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rescheduling solution 1 | 55 min | 1 | 1 |
| Rescheduling solution 2 | 48 min | 3 | 0 |

## An example rescheduling scenario

| Trains | Disturbance location | Wall-clock time, Disturbed train (remaining events) | Initial delay | Potential conflicts | Extended runtime |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107 | Hässleholm:Mellby | 5:40 PM, Westbound freight train 4917 (35 events) | 50\% increase in its runtime | 23 | 37 min |



Total final delay = 55 min, Number of trains with a secondary delay = 1

## An example rescheduling scenario (contd.)



- Which alternative to prefer over the other and why?
- What other KPIs are important to consider?

An alternative solution found by the algorithm:

- A smaller total final delay at stations,
- But three trains with secondary delays in their route.

| Algorithm's <br> main <br> solution | Algorithm's <br> alternative <br> solution |
| :--- | :--- |
| (55 min, | (48 min, |
| 1 train) | 3 trains) |

## Some conclusions

$>$ Numerical evaluation of rescheduled timetables using various KPIs is important (we proposed an evaluation framework)
> Different algorithms may be suitable for different types of disturbances (we evaluated an exact algorithm and a tailormade algorithm)
> Possible to increase the modelling detail while retaining the algorithm's speed (we increased the detail of problem model)
>Possible for the dispatcher and the algorithm to complement each other (to quickly find the best rescheduled timetables)

# Thank you for the attention 

## Questions and Discussion

